# PAGE 1112 \* RCVD AT 10/10/2005 12:29:08 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/29 \* DMIS:2738300 \* CSID:4123912762 \* DURATION (mm-ss):03-04

**Attorneys at Law** 

306 Fourth Avenue, Suite 300 Pittsburgh, PA 15222 (412) 391-2515 RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 1 0 2005

Fax: (412) 391-2762

# **FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

#### IMPORTANT MESSAGE

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION IS PRIVILEGED AND/OR CONFIDENTIAL AND IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED BELOW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU

United STATES PATENT Trademark Office

Name: EXAMINER: GARY L. Welch Fax Number: 571-273-8300

FRO	<u>vi:</u>
	Name: DANICI W. Ernsberger
Re:	File Name John J. STANKO & Number: RPP # 10/634, 620 # 03-001/ ART UNIT: 3765
)TA	L PAGES TRANSMITTED (including this page) [_/2]
MA	RKS: Following This Form is A Copy of:
71 A Y Y C. J	
Re	ply To office ACTION
- Re	Fice ACTION
Re	ply To office ACTION

TO:

Re:

Applicant: John J. Stanko Application No. 10/634,620

**Pocket Protector** 

Examiner: Gary L. Welch

Art Unit: 3765

Our File No. 03-0011

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 1 0 2005

REPLY TO OFFICE ACTION DATED JULY 12, 2004

#### **Amendment of Specifications**

The applicant, by his attorney, request the examiner to amend this specification. On page 3, the first full sentence should read as follows: The reinforcing material can be affixed to either side of the inner pocket fabric and either side of the outer pocket fabric, and can be woven, sewn, or otherwise incorporated into the pocket itself; the improvement comprises a reinforcing material that is affixed to and runs down the outer fabric of the pocket and is affixed to and runs up the inner fabric of the pocket.

The amendment does not add new matter. The added words are found in claim 1.

#### Response to office action

(Section 102 Rejection)

The Examiner has issued an office action dated, July 12, 2004, that rejects claims 1 through 6. Under 35 U.S.C (102(b) as being anticipated by Gasser (U.S.4,972,524). The Examiner states that:

"Gasser discloses a pocket protector consisting of an outer fabric 4 and an inner pocket fabric, 1 secured along their edges 5, 6 to form a pocket. A reinforcing material 7 is affixed to and runs down the outer fabric of the pocket as affixed to and runs up the inner fabric of the pocket. The reinforcing material 7 is sandwiched between fabric layers (1 and 4) and therefore contacts both layers as to prevent the loss of the wallet through the actions of a pickpocket who tries to cut the pocket with a knife."

The Examiner has rejected the present patent based on a mis-apprehension of the Gasser Invention. The abstract in Gasser says:

"According to the invention, an anti pickpocket device is composed of a metal lattice from which extended at least two connecting elements designed to be secured to different points of the internal fabric of the pocket in such a way that the lattice extends over most of the internal surface of the <u>outer</u> fabric of the pocket so that it is disposed between the object contained in the pocket and the outer fabric of the pocket." (emphasis added)

It does <u>not</u> say, "over the internal surface of the <u>inner</u> fabric." Figures 2 and 3 of the Gasser patent confirm that the anti pickpocket device extends over most of the internal surface of the <u>outer</u> fabric of the pocket. The drawings do not show an anti pickpocket device that extends over the internal surface of the <u>inner</u> fabric of the pocket.

Your applicants interpretation of Gasser is further supported by the specification in Gasser.

Column 1, lines 20 through 26, repeat the disclosure found in the abstract:

The "anti pickpocket device is characterized of being composed of a metal lattice from which extended at least two connecting elements designed to be secured to different points of the internal fabric of the pocket in such a way that the lattice extends over most of the internal surface of the <u>outer</u> fabric of the pocket, so that it is disposed between the object contained in the pocket and the outer fabric of the pocket." (emphasis added)

Again, it does not say: "the internal surface of the <u>inner</u> fabric." The present invention is different. Figure 3 of the present invention shows that the reinforcing material, 6, is attached to <u>both</u>, the inside fabric 2, and the outside fabric 5. Figure 4 of the present invention shows that the reinforcing material is attached to the inside fabric 21, and the outside fabric 17.

10/10/5002 15:30 4153315165 BEHKEND EBARBEBGEK 64CE 03/15

The Gasser patent does not disclose the attachment of a reinforcing material to both the inner fabric and outer fabric of the pocket. The present invention has unique advantages over the Gasser design. As stated in the final paragraph of page one of the present invention:

"the pocket protector of the present invention consists of an outer pocket fabric, and an inner pocket fabric, secured along their edges to form a pocket; where in the improvement comprises a reinforcing material that is affixed to and runs down the outer fabric of the pocket and is affixed and runs down the inner fabric of the pocket. Thus, when a pickpocket cuts the pocket fabric, the reinforcing material is not cut, and the reinforcing material cradles the contents of the pocket, and keeps the contents of the pocket from falling into the hands of a thief."

The essential element of the invention is that the reinforcing material goes down the outer fabric and up the inner fabric so as to form a cradle. This cradle is not disclosed nor fairly suggested in the Gasser patent. A large wallet causes a pocket to bulge out and to make it easy for a pickpocket to cut the material below the pocket. The "cradle" in the present invention keeps the wallet from falling into the hands of the pickpocket.

### (Section 103 Rejection)

The Examiner has also rejected claims 2 through 6 under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gasser. The examiner states:

A review of applicant's disclose does not reveal the criticality for using stainless steel wire (i.e., why stainless steel is superior over any other material). It would have been obvious through routine experimentation to replace the metal wire of Gasser with a stainless steel wire in order to provide a predetermined level of protection against a certain cutting implement.

 As stated in the specifications, the stainless steel wire is preferred because the use of steel gives the user of the pocket a feeling of security. Further, embroidery stitching is preferred because the stitching on the outer fabric is visible to pickpockets and may discourage them from applying their trade, and because the embroidery stitching on the inner fabric can be felt by the user and gives the user some form of reinsurance that their valuables are being protected. These attributes are not disclosed nor fairly suggested by the Gasser patent.

The Examiner has stated that:

with regards claims to 3 and 4, the reinforcing material is enforced to the material with rivets and hooks. It is well known, in the art, that various types of fastening mechanisms are functionally equivalent.

Your applicant respectfully disagrees. Iron on patches are not disclosed nor fairly suggested by the Gasser patent. The Gasser patent does not disclose or fairly suggest a mechanism for a retro fit. The use of an iron on patch is found to be a successful and functional retro fit of the present invention. This retro fit application is not disclosed not fairly suggested in Gasser.

The Examiner has stated that: "With regard to 5 and 6, the flexible material consists of multiple strands."

Your applicant respectfully disagrees that the use of multiple strands is obvious.

The patent application speaks of sacrificial strands, i.e. strands that might well be cut.

It can be a single continuous thread or ribbon or wire or even multiple strands; and, it is not necessary that all the strands are strong enough to withstand the cut of the knife blade so long as some of the strands do.

The sacrificial strands serve a real purpose. They stop the knife blade from cutting all

10/10/5002 15:30 4153315125 BEHKEND EKHZEND EKHZEKEEK 62/15

the strands. These sacrificial strands are not disclosed nor fairly suggested by the Gasser patent.

For the above reasons, the present application is ready for issuance and your applicant requests that the patent be issued.

Sincerely,

BEHREND & ERNSBERGER, P.C.

Daniel W. Emsberger

Registration Number 28,568

300 Union Bank building 306 Fourth Avenue

Pittsburgh, Pa. 15222

Phone (412) 391-2515

Fax (412) 391-2762

### RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

DCT\_TOO 103-005 475:59:08 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* 5VR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/29 \* DNIS:2738300 \* CSID:4123912762 \* DURATION (mm-ss):03-04

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	Office Action Summan							
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Gary L. Welch	3765						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after 60X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period because to reply within the set or extended period for the period for t	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from y cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 N	ovember 2003.							
2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This	action is non-final.							
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.		•						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.	•							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.							
Application Papers		•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 17 November 2003 is/a		ted to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 110/a	) (d) or (f)						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 55 5.5.5. § 1 15(a	,,-(d) 0. (i).						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received							
2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior								
application from the International Bureau		,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary							
2) Notice of Draftsparson's Patent Drawling Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mall Date	6) Other:	мон групошон (г 10-102)						
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary Pr	art of Paper No./Mall Date 07072005						

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No./Mall Date 07072005

Application/Control Number: 10/634,620 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 3, lines 18-22: Claim 1 is repeated at the bottom of the specification. It is noted that claim 1 is provided on a separate sheet along with claims 2-6. It is requested that claim 1 at the bottom of page 3 be deleted since claims are required to start on a separate sheet of paper.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gasser (U.S. 4,972,524).

Gasser discloses a pocket protector consisting of an outer pocket fabric 4 and an inner pocket fabric 1 secured along their edges (5, 6) to form a pocket. A reinforcing material 7 is affixed to and runs down the outer fabric of the pocket and is affixed to and runs up the inner fabric of the pocket. The reinforcing material 7 is sandwiched between fabric layers (1 and 4) and therefore contacts both layers so as to prevent the loss of a wallet through the actions of a pickpocket who tries to cut the pocket with a knife.

# PAGE 9/12 \* RCVD AT 10/10/2005 12:29:08 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/29 \* DNIS:2738300 \* CSID:4123912762 \* DURATION (mm-ss):03-04

<u> </u>	Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code				Classification	
	T Samuel and a	1 6.	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS			
			Examiner Gary L. Welch	Examiner Art Unit Gary L. Welch 3765		
	Notice of Reference	s Cited	10/634,620	Reexamination STANKO, JOHN JAMES		
			Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/F	nt(s)/Patent Under	

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-6,374,421	04-2002	Cleary, Scott E.	2/254
	В	US-4,891,865	01-1990	Biloff, Elmer E.	24/3.5
	С	US-4,972,524	11-1990	Gasser, Karl	2/250
	Q.	US-4,083,321	04-1978	LeBron et al.	116/84
	E	US-4,885,570	12-1969	Chien, Darin	340/571
	F	US-3,723,994	04-1973	Tucker, Cathern A.	2/248
	G	US-4,083,321	04-1978	LeBron et al.	116/84
	Н	US-4,388,734	06-1983	Cowden, Reuel W.	2/250
	.1	US-5,156,891	10-1992	Isoe et al.	427/289
	J	US-5,124,190	06-1992	Isoe et al.	428/173
	к	US-6,760,926	07-2004	Miller, Raymond J.	2/250
	L	US-D465;640	11-2002	Vazquez, Maximino	D2/857
	М	US-D433,807	11-2000	Vazquez, Maximino	D3/303

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Nаme	Classification
	z					
	0	-		•		
	P					
	a					
	æ					
	s					
	Т					

#### NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

		W-III // CEIT DOGGIERIO
*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	٧	·
	w	
	×	

"A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-892 (Rev. 01-2001)

Notice of References Cited

Part of Paper No. 07072005

PAGE 09/12

BEHBEND EBAZBEBGEB

10/10/5002 15:30 4153315/62

# PAGE 10:12 \* RCVD AT 10:10:2005 12:29:08 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6:29 \* DNIS:2738300 \* CSID:4123912762 \* DURATION (mm-ss):03-04

		N- 4				Reexamination	Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination STANKO, JOHN JAMES	
		Notice of References Cited			Examiner Art Unit		Art Unit	3
				6	Sary L Welch		3765	Page 2 of 2
				U.S. PATE	NT DOCUMENTS	5		
*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY		t	lame		Classification
	Α	US-D395,155	06-1998	Barker, Ly	⁄dia M.			D2/857
	В	US-D263,766	04-1982	Heinfling,	Martin			D2/857
	¢	US-D260,321	08-1981	Heinfling,	Martin			D2/857
	D	US-D260,320	08-1981	Heinfling,	Martin			D2/857
	Ę	US-D258,172	02-1981	Heinfling,	Martin			D2/857
	F	US-D243,291	02-1977	Mechaly e	otal.			D2/857
	G	US-						
	Н	US-						
	_	U\$-	1	<u> </u>				
	J	US-						
	к	US-			, ,	-		
	L	US-						
	W.	U\$-						
				FOREIGN P.	ATENT DOCUME	NTS		
*		Document Number Gountry Gode-Number-Kind Gode	Date MM-YYYY	Country Name				Classification
	N					**		•
	0							
	Р							
	a				•			
·	ĸ							
	ន							
	τ							
				NON-PAT	ENT DOCUMENT	s		
*		Inclu	de as applicable	e: Author, Títl	e Date, Publisher,	Edition or Volum	e, Pertinent Pages)	
	د							
	<b>v</b>				- "			
	w				_			
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>	
	x							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-892 (Rev. 01-2001)

**Notice of References Cited** 

Part of Paper No. 07072005

PAGE 10/12

Application/Control Number: 10/634,620

Art Unit: 3765

Page 3

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gasser (U.S. 4,972,524).

Gasser discloses the invention substantially as claimed above.

However, Gasser does not disclose that the reinforcing material is stainless steel wire.

A review of applicant's disclose does not reveal the criticality for using stainless steel wire (i.e., why stainless steel is superior over any other material).

It would have been obvious through routine experimentation to replace the metal wire of Gasser with a stainless steel wire in order to provide a predetermined level of protection against a certain cutting implement.

With regard to claims 3 and 4, the reinforcing material is affixed to the pocket with rivets and hooks (15, 16). It is well known in the art that various types of fastening mechanisms are functionally equivalent. Hook and loop, iron on patches, button and buttonhole, hooks and rivets, snaps, stitching and adhesive are a few examples. These fasteners may be used interchangeably depending upon the desired aesthetic effect. Further, the specification does not give an indication of why embroidery stitching or iron on patches would be desirable over another fastener type.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,620

Art Unit: 3765

Page 4

With regard to claims 5 and 6, the flexible material consists of multiple strands.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 6. applicant's disclosure. Behnke '614, Tucker '994, LeBron et al. '321, Cowden '734, Isoe et al. '891 and '190 and Miller '926 disclose various pocket protection devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary L. Welch whose telephone number is (571) 272-4996. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 5:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J. Calvert can be reached on (571) 272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306,

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rt Unit 3765

glw